Review on QSAR using Anticancer Drug
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Abstract

New drug discovery has been acknowledged as a complicated, expensive, time-consuming, and challenging project. It has been estimated that around 12 years and 2.7 billion USD, on average, are demanded for a new drug discovery via traditional drug development pipeline. How to reduce the research cost and speed up the development process of new drug discovery has become a challenging, urgent question for the pharmaceutical industry. Computer-aided drug discovery (CADD) has emerged as a powerful and promising technology for faster, cheaper, and more effective drug design. Recently, the rapid growth of computational tools for drug discovery, including anticancer therapies, has exhibited a significant and outstanding impact on anticancer drug design, and has also provided fruitful insights into the area of cancer therapy. In this work, we discussed the Qualitative structure activity relationship, a computer-aided drug discovery process with a focus on anticancer drugs.
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Introduction

Up to now, cancer remains a global and serious public health challenge. It is estimated that there are more than 200 different types of cancer, generally named according to the tissue where the cancer was recognized for the first time. Cancer is considered to be one of the significant causes for death in the 21st century and the most critical obstacle for the increase of global life expectancy. According to an analysis by the world health organization (WHO) in 2015, cancer is the second leading cause of death for patients younger than 70 years old in 91 countries and the third or fourth leading cause of death among 22 other countries. Moreover, a global increase of 18.1 million new cancer cases and 9.6 million cancer-related deaths have been reported in a previous study, especially 70% of the death caused by cancer occur in low-income and middle-income countries. The fast growth of the cancer incidence and mortality has turned out to be global health challenges. How to reduce the cancer-related death rate has attracted significant attention from the government, society, medical industry, as well as scientific communities, expecting the rapid development of effective and safe drugs for cancer treatment. Despite of the impressive progress in biotechnologies and further understandings of the disease biology, the development of new, practical and innovative small molecule drugs remains an arduous, time-consuming and expensive project, which requires collaborations from many expertise in multidisciplinary fields, including medicinal chemistry, computational chemistry, biology, drug metabolism, clinical research, etc. Furthermore, it has been illustrated that the successful discovery and development of a new drug costs 12 years, and expensive investment. Thus, novel drug development strategies with a reduced cost of time and money, as well as an enhanced efficiency are in high demand, which would contribute to a significant improvement in global health and life expectancy. Since the successful development of HIV protease inhibitor Viracept in the USA in 1997, which was the first drug design fully driven by its target structure, computational methods have served as an essential tool in drug discovery projects and have been a cornerstone for new drug development approaches. This makes the drug developmental process faster and cheaper. Recently, the fast growth in computational power, including massively parallel computing on graphical processing units (GPUs), the continuous advances in artificial intelligence (AI) tools, have translated fundamental research into practical applications in the drug discovery field. This attracted considerable attention for their outstanding performance on providing new promising perspectives and solutions to overcome life threatening diseases. In this review, we aim at providing an overview of QSAR-method and anti-cancer therapy discovery in particular. We reviewed some of the most representative examples and clarified fundamental principles by exploring studies on anticancer drug designs with the help of QSAR methods.

Anti-cancer drug target prediction

Human contains approximately 30,000 genes, among which around 6,000 to 8,000 sites are estimated as potential pharmacological targets. However, less than 400 encoded...
proteins have been proved to be effective for drug development until now. Cancer, compared to many other human diseases, now has a plethora of potential molecular targets for therapeutic development. Traditional drug discovery mainly follows the paradigm of ‘one molecule - one target - one disease’, without considering the interactions between drugs and proteins. However, an important fact that many complex diseases are relevant to a variety of target proteins has been overlooked. Furthermore, unexpected drug functions derived from off-targets are accidental and uncontrollable activities because of the ‘poly pharmacological’ properties of certain drugs, which might result in undesirable side effects. Those are particularly pronounced for cancer drugs. On the other hand, there are some positive examples that benefit from different pathways targeted by one given molecule. For example, sildenafil (viagra) was developed to treat angina, but now it is used for erectile dysfunction therapy. There are several drugs, including anticancer drugs, whose corresponding target proteins (both primary and non-target) remain yet unidentified or unknown. Furthermore, some attractive and potentially effective cancer targets remain outside of the scope of pharmacological regulation. Some of these targets such as phosphatases, transcription factors, and RAS family members have been described as undruggable, as they lack effective enzymatic active sites. To make the full use of known drugs to treat new indications, the characterization of all potential new ligand binding sites has been illustrated as a key point in drug repositioning and repurposing. Therefore, new and highly qualitative bioinformatic target prediction methods are required for the accurate prediction of drug targets. Up to now, a wide range of drug target interactive web servers has been established, providing a series of drug-target databases and prediction tools. Moreover, various computational approaches have been used to study potential interactions between proteins and drugs. In particular, network-based models and ML-based models have emerged as important tools. A review by Chen et al. summarizes several available computational models for this application. Interestingly, a method proposed by Campillos et al. that uses the similarity of drug side effects to determine whether multiple drugs could interact with the same target proteins attracted our attention. Based on this research, Takarabe et al. took advantage of the US FDA’s adverse event reporting system (AERS) to define the pharmacological similarity of all potential medicines and developed a novel system to predict large-scale interactions between unknown drug targets. Notably, AERS was employed to predict interactions between drugs and targets for the first time. In 2010, Klipp et al. summarized several available computational models for network-based drug-target prediction. Moreover, various biological data settings, including structures of bioactive compounds, sequences of target proteins, and information of ligand-target interactions, have been combined. A series of machine learning-based approaches have been demonstrated as efficient tools in detecting relationships among drug structures and corresponding target proteins from a large amount of data, such as supervised learning method, bipartite graph learning method, bipartite local model, and so on. A recent review by Mäyrä et al. compared the predictive performance of deep learning with other prediction approaches for multiple drug targets in the comparative studies of composite target prediction methods. As a result, feed-forward neural networks were identified with better performance in drug target prediction than other methods. As above, since a large number of compounds and vigorous efforts are abandoned and wasted due to the off-target effects during the classical drug development procedure, a greatly enhanced development of target prediction in new drug exploration exhibited attractive advantages and further expansion in this area are still highly desirable.

Quantitative structure activity relationship

Q SAR (Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship) is a ligand-based approach that relies on analyzing the biological activities of drugs using various molecular descriptors (MDs) or fingerprints (FPs). These models mathematically describe how the activities respond to the targets according to the ligand’s structural characteristics. QSAR was obtained by calculating the correlations between the properties of the ligand binding agent and the biological activity measured by experiments. Different ML and deep learning (DL) approaches have also been applied to develop QSAR models; including Support Vector Machine (SVM), Random Forest (RF), Polynomial Regression (PR), Multi Linear Regression (MLR), Artificial Neural Network (ANN). Unlike the pharmacophore models, QSAR models can measure biological activities quantitatively and can even find positive or negative effects according to certain characteristics of the molecule on its activity. QSAR has been applied to many other molecular design purposes, such as predicting the new molecule analog activity, optimizing lead, and predicting new structural leads in drug discovery. In the classical 2D-QSAR approaches, the biological activity is related to physical and chemical features consisting of steric, electronic, and hydrophobic characters of drugs, and the relationships are represented as mathematical equations. More advanced 3D-QSAR approaches, such as comparative molecular field analysis and molecular similarity indexes in a comparative analysis, are based on the force field calculations. The structural information of molecules is needed, and developed models are represented in 3D contour maps facilitating the visualization and interpretation.

Successful stories of computational drug discovery

QSAR methods have proved to play an essential role in modern drug discovery. Since computational methods could cover almost all stages of the drug discovery pipeline, the applications of QSAR methods in anticancer drug discoveries have shown great advantages in terms of the required investment, resources, and time. More recently, QSAR methods have become a potent and powerful tool in several successful cases of anticancer drug development. Herein, we list several successful applications of QSAR methods for small molecule drugs, which have been applied to cancer treatment or are at later stages in the clinical trial. In a study of alomori et al., four oxidovanadium(IV) complexes have been synthesized and characterized by several spectroscopic methods. The IR spectra suggested that the ligands have bidentate coordination mode to the vanadium ion. In addition, the molar conductance, EPR, magnetic moment values, and electronic data support a square pyramidal structure for all complexes.

Computational studies were applied to demonstrate the optimization geometry and essential quantum parameters and confirm their biological efficiency. The metal complexes showed a square-pyramidal geometry arrangement around the metal ion which was agreeable with experimental results. The form coordination bonds length presented strength bond between oxidovanadium(IV) and the investigated ligand to form stable complexes. The bioactivity study was varied and involved DNA-binding, molecular docking, QSAR, and cytotoxicity analyses. DNA binding results revealed two behaviors for the synthesized oxidovanadium(IV) complexes with an increase in CT-DNA concentration, hyperchromic with electrostatic or grooves binding modes and hypochromic signifying an intercalation binding mode. Molecular docking results showed that the [V0 (CTZ2):] 2H2O complex exhibited significant interaction with colon cancer (3IG7) Protein with good selectivity. QSAR study has given significant information.
on biological activity by using the MLR method. QSAR model showed a good correlation between the predicted and the experimentally observed inhibitory activities. The results of validation indicate that the generated QSAR model possessed a high predictive power ($R^2 = 0.97$). Based on the molecular docking and QSAR results, $[\text{VO(CTZ)}_2]^{2+} \cdot 2\text{H}_{2}\text{O}$ was selected and tested for its inhibitory activity against colon cancer cell line (HCT116). The selected complex showed higher anticancer activity than the standard cisplatin chemotherapy drug.

In another research, QSAR and QAAR studies have been conducted on diverse benzamide-derived HDAC3 inhibitors as the first initiative to explore the designing strategies of higher active and selective HDAC3 inhibitors over HDAC1 and HDAC2. QSAR models reveal that molecular size and shape along with the steric effect should have to be optimized to achieve higher HDAC3 inhibition. QAAR models reflect that modification/substitution at the benzamide scaffold should be optimized in such a way so that these molecules possess lower steric bulk along with nonpolar features for achieving higher HDAC3 selectivity over HDAC1 and HDAC2. However, the importance of spiro hydrophobic cap group, as well as electron withdrawing fluorine group at the benzamide scaffold, should be well-accounted for retaining higher HDAC3 selectivity over HDAC1. Moreover, less polar and less hydrophobic benzamides are preferred for HDAC3 selectivity over HDAC2. This detailed structural exploration will surely unveil a new vista of designing highly potent and selective benzamide-based HDAC3 inhibitors that may be a crucial weapon to battle against a variety of cancers.
An example of QSAR studies, to design new molecules with anticaner activity, two 3D QSAR models was developed: CoMFA (Q2 = 7.32, R2 = 0.992) and CoMSIA (Q2 = 7.32, R2 = 0.992) based on 42 Pyrazole derivatives. The results obtained for both models were satisfactory and validated by reliable methods. All the newly designed molecules respect the different drug-likeness rules (Lipinski, Veber, Ghose, Egan, Muegge, and ADMET prediction). Moreover, molecular docking studies results on EGFR receptor indicated that the interaction results of the designed compounds T1-T5 in the cavity of the EGFR receptor (1 M17) show more type and the number of interactions (Hydrogen Bond interaction) compared to erlotinib as reference inhibitors of EGFR. Together, these results facilitate and guide the design and synthesis of novel and more potent Pyrazole derivatives with anticancer activity.

**Conclusion**

Cancer has become a tangible threat to human health. About 9.6 million people are estimated to die from the various forms of cancer each year, according to a statistic report. Cancer has become the second-largest disease that causes human death. However, developing a new drug molecule costs 12 years and 2.7 billion USD on average. The drug development for cancer even becomes more complicated, especially considering the molecular pharmacology is still not well understood. Hence, the discovery and development of new drugs is considered very expensive and time-consuming. In this respect, computational methods could be constructive for performing different tasks including protein-interaction network analysis, drug target prediction, binding site prediction, virtual screening, and many others. All these innovative methods could considerably facilitate the anti-cancer drug discovery. In recent years, with the advance of AI, more sophisticated methods, such as QSAR, retro-synthetic routine plan, drug scaffold generation, drug binding affinity predictions, were developed. The useful predictions generated by QSAR models combined with experimental validations could further speed up the anti-cancer drug development.
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